		SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY D-24 September Term 2024 089932
In the Matter of	:	
Henry F. Wolff, III	:	ORDER
An Attorney at Law	:	
(Attorney No. 009681973)	:	

The Disciplinary Review Board, in DRB 24-175, having granted a motion for discipline by consent, pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:20-10(b), of **Henry F**. **Wolff, III,** of **Atlantic Highlands**, who was admitted to the bar of this State in 1973; and

The Office of Attorney Ethics and respondent having signed a stipulation of discipline by consent in which the parties agreed that respondent violated RPC 1.8(a) (engaging in an improper business transaction with a client), RPC 1.15(a) (negligently misappropriating client funds), RPC 1.15(b) (failing to promptly disburse funds to a client or third party), and RPC 1.15(d) (failing to comply with the recordkeeping requirements of <u>Rule</u> 1:21-6), and that respondent's conduct warrants a reprimand or such lesser discipline as the Disciplinary Review Board deems appropriate; and

The Office of Attorney Ethics and respondent having further agreed that

respondent should be required to provide proof to the Office of Attorney Ethics that respondent has corrected the improper images of business checks on statements pertaining to respondent's attorney business account #xxxx2160, in accordance with Rule 1:21-6(b), within thirty days of the Court's order; and

The Disciplinary Review Board having determined: that respondent violated RPC 1.15(a), RPC 1.15(b), and RPC 1.15(d); to dismiss the charge that respondent violated RPC 1.8(a); and that a reprimand is the appropriate discipline for respondent's unethical conduct; and

The Disciplinary Review Board having further recommended that respondent be required to submit to the Office of Attorney Ethics within thirty days of the Court's order (1) all outstanding previously requested financial records, (2) proof that respondent has resolved the title issue in the <u>DeVito</u> matter and disbursed the remaining \$2,695.73 to the buyer, or that a legitimate dispute remains ongoing, and (3) proof that respondent disbursed funds in the <u>Farese to Farese</u> and <u>Rosenthal and Niles to Manton</u> matters, deposited those funds with the Superior Court Trust Fund, or maintained active balances; and

The Disciplinary Review Board having submitted the record of the proceedings to the Clerk of the Supreme Court for the entry of an order of discipline in accordance with Rule 1:20-16(e), and neither party having

objected to the additional conditions recommended by the Disciplinary Review Board;

And good cause appearing;

It is ORDERED that **Henry F. Wolff, III**, is hereby reprimanded; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent shall submit to the Office of Attorney Ethics within thirty days of this order (1) proof that respondent has corrected the improper images of business checks on statements pertaining to respondent's attorney business account #xxxx2160, in accordance with <u>Rule</u> 1:21-6(b), (2) all outstanding previously requested financial records, (3) proof that respondent has resolved the title issue in the <u>DeVito</u> matter and disbursed the remaining \$2,695.73 to the buyer, or that a legitimate dispute remains ongoing, and (4) proof that respondent disbursed funds in the <u>Farese to Farese</u> and <u>Rosenthal and Niles to Manton</u> matters, deposited those funds with the Superior Court Trust Fund, or maintained active balances; and it is further

ORDERED that the entire record of this matter be made a permanent part of respondent's file as an attorney at law of this State; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent reimburse the Disciplinary Oversight

Committee for appropriate administrative costs and actual expenses incurred in the prosecution of this matter, as provided in <u>Rule</u> 1:20-17.

WITNESS, the Honorable Stuart Rabner, Chief Justice, at Trenton, this 27th day of December, 2024.

Neather Bater

**CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT**