D-136 September Term 2023 089791

In the Matter of :

Toshisada Onishi :

ORDER

An Attorney at Law :

(Attorney No. 141002017) :

The Disciplinary Review Board having filed with the Court its decision in DRB 24-044, recommending on the record certified to the Board pursuant to Rule 1:20-4(f) (default by respondent) that **Toshisada Onishi** of **Harrison**, who was conditionally admitted to the bar of this State by order of the Court filed August 31, 2017, should be suspended for three months for violating RPC 3.4(c) (knowingly disobeying an obligation under the rules of a tribunal), RPC 8.1(b) (failing to cooperate with disciplinary authorities) (two instances), and RPC 8.4(d) (engaging in conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice); and

The Disciplinary Review Board having further recommended that respondent be required to demonstrate full compliance with the conditions placed upon his law license, as set forth in the Court's December 9, 2021 order, before seeking reinstatement; and

1

Toshisada Onishi having failed to appear on the order directing respondent to show cause why respondent should not be disbarred or otherwise disciplined; and

The Court having determined from its review of the matter that the appropriate quantum of discipline for respondent's unethical conduct is an indeterminate suspension pursuant to Rule 1:20-15A(a)(2);

And good cause appearing;

It is ORDERED that **Toshisada Onishi** is hereby suspended from the practice of law for an indeterminate period pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:20-15A(a)(2), effective March 7, 2025, and until further order of the Court; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent shall not petition for reinstatement to practice for a period of four years following the effective date of the indeterminate suspension; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent shall fully comply with the conditions set forth in the Court's December 9, 2021 order prior to seeking reinstatement; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent comply with <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20 dealing with suspended attorneys; and it is further

ORDERED that pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20(c), respondent's failure to comply with the Affidavit of Compliance requirement of <u>Rule</u> 1:20-20(b)(15)

may (1) preclude the Disciplinary Review Board from considering respondent's petition for reinstatement for a period of up to six months from the date respondent files proof of compliance; (2) be found to constitute a violation of RPC 8.1(b) and RPC 8.4(d); and (3) provide a basis for an action for contempt pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:10-2; and it is further

ORDERED that the entire record of this matter be made a permanent part of respondent's file as an attorney at law of this State; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent reimburse the Disciplinary Oversight

Committee for appropriate administrative costs and actual expenses incurred in the prosecution of this matter, as provided in <u>Rule</u> 1:20-17.

WITNESS, the Honorable Stuart Rabner, Chief Justice, at Trenton, this 3rd day of February, 2025.

CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT

Heather Sales