

**SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY  
D-46 September Term 2024  
090124**

**In the Matter of** :  
**George L. Rodriguez** :  
**An Attorney at Law** :  
**(Attorney No. 010031981)** :

**O R D E R**

The Disciplinary Review Board having filed with the Court its decision in DRB 24-032, recommending as a matter of final discipline pursuant to Rule 1:20-13(c)(2) that **George L. Rodriguez**, formerly of **Bedminster**, who was admitted to the bar of this State in 1981, and who has been temporarily suspended from the practice of law since February 2, 2023, should be suspended for a period of three years, retroactive to February 2, 2023, following respondent's guilty plea and convictions in the Superior Court of New Jersey for second-degree vehicular homicide, in violation of N.J.S.A. 2C:11-5(a), third-degree causing death while driving with a suspended license, in violation of N.J.S.A. 2C:40-22(a), fourth-degree operating a motor vehicle with a suspended license knowing that his license had been suspended for a second or subsequent conviction for driving while intoxicated, in violation of N.J.S.A. 2C:40-26(b), third-degree insurance fraud, in violation of N.J.S.A.

2C:21-4.6(a), and third-degree vehicle title fraud, in violation of N.J.S.A. 2C:21-4.8(b)(1), and for violating RPC 8.4(b) (committing a criminal act that reflects adversely on a lawyer's honesty, trustworthiness, or fitness as a lawyer in other respects);

And good cause appearing;

It is ORDERED that **George L. Rodriguez** is suspended from the practice of law for a period of three years, retroactive to February 2, 2023, and until further order of the Court; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent shall provide to the Office of Attorney Ethics proof of respondent's fitness to practice law, as attested to by a medical doctor approved by the Office of Attorney Ethics, prior to reinstatement; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent comply with Rule 1:20-20 dealing with suspended attorneys; and it is further

ORDERED that pursuant to Rule 1:20-20(c), respondent's failure to comply with the Affidavit of Compliance requirement of Rule 1:20-20(b)(15) may (1) preclude the Disciplinary Review Board from considering respondent's petition for reinstatement for a period of up to six months from the date respondent files proof of compliance; (2) be found to constitute a violation of RPC 8.1(b) and RPC 8.4(d); and (3) provide a basis for an action

for contempt pursuant to Rule 1:10-2; and it is further

ORDERED that the entire record of this matter be made a permanent part of respondent's file as an attorney at law of this State; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent reimburse the Disciplinary Oversight Committee for appropriate administrative costs and actual expenses incurred in the prosecution of this matter, as provided in Rule 1:20-17.

WITNESS, the Honorable Stuart Rabner, Chief Justice, at Trenton, this 11th day of March, 2025.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Heather J. Baker".

**CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT**