

**SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY
D-81 September Term 2025
091890**

In the Matter of :
Marco Di Prato :
An Attorney at Law :
(Attorney No. 159612017) :

O R D E R

The Disciplinary Review Board having filed with the Court its decision in DRB 25-242, recommending as a matter of final discipline pursuant to Rule 1:20-13(c)(2) that **Marco Di Prato of Morton, Pennsylvania**, who was admitted to the bar of this State in 2017, should be suspended from the practice of law for six months following his guilty plea and convictions in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Court of Common Pleas of Montgomery County, for first-degree misdemeanor endangering the welfare of a child, in violation of 18 Pa. C.S. § 4304(a)(1), first-degree misdemeanor simple assault, in violation of 18 Pa. C.S. § 2701(a)(1), and for violating RPC 8.4(b) (committing a criminal act that reflects adversely on the lawyer's honesty, trustworthiness, or fitness as a lawyer); and

The Disciplinary Review Board having further recommended that prior to reinstatement respondent be required to provide proof to the Office of

Attorney Ethics that respondent has complied with the terms of respondent's Pennsylvania probation, until the probationary term is completed;

And good cause appearing;

It is ORDERED that **Marco Di Prato** is suspended from the practice of law for six months, and until further order of the Court, effective July 26, 2026; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent shall provide proof to the Office of Attorney Ethics, prior to reinstatement, that respondent has complied with the terms of respondent's Pennsylvania probation, and continue to provide proof to the Office of Attorney Ethics, on a bi-annual basis, that respondent is complying with the terms of probation, until the probationary term is completed; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent comply with Rule 1:20-20 dealing with suspended attorneys; and it is further

ORDERED that pursuant to Rule 1:20-20(c), respondent's failure to comply with the Affidavit of Compliance requirement of Rule 1:20-20(b)(15) may (1) preclude the Disciplinary Review Board from considering respondent's petition for reinstatement for a period of up to six months from the date respondent files proof of compliance; (2) be found to constitute a violation of RPC 8.1(b) and RPC 8.4(d); and (3) provide a basis for an action

for contempt pursuant to Rule 1:10-2; and it is further

ORDERED that the entire record of this matter be made a permanent part of respondent's file as an attorney at law of this State; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent reimburse the Disciplinary Oversight Committee for appropriate administrative costs and actual expenses incurred in the prosecution of this matter, as provided in Rule 1:20-17.

WITNESS, the Honorable Stuart Rabner, Chief Justice, at Trenton, this 24th day of June, 2026.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Heather J. Baker". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned above the title "CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT".

CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT